MOODY'S

CREDIT OPINION

21 April 2016

New Issue

Rate this Research



312-706-9956

Contacts

Cora Bruemmer 312-706-9971 Analyst cora.bruemmer@moodys.com

Rachel Cortez VP-Senior Credit Officer

rachel.cortez@moodys.com

Delaware (County of) OH

New Issue: Moody's Upgrades Delaware County, OH's GO to Aaa; Outlook Stable

Summary Rating Rationale

Moody's Investors Service has upgraded Delaware County, OH's general obligation unlimited tax (GOLT) and general obligation limited tax (GOLT) debt to Aaa from Aa1. Concurrently, Moody's has assigned a Aaa to the county's \$35.6 million GOLT Various Purpose Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 2016. Post-sale the county will have \$7.1 million of outstanding GOULT bonds and \$54 million of outstanding GOLT bonds. The outlook is stable.

The upgrade to Aaa reflects the county's sizable tax base and growing economy located near the City of Columbus (Aaa stable); strong resident income profile; healthy financial position; moderate debt burden; and moderate exposure to unfunded pension liabilities of statewide, cost-sharing retirement plans.

The lack of distinction between the GOULT and GOLT ratings is based upon the state requirement that Ohio local governments use all available revenues, including available property tax millage currently assigned to operations of their own or overlapping entities under the ten mill limitation statutory code, for the payment of debt service prior to any other uses.

Credit Strengths

- » Large tax base with a very strong demographic profile supported by proximity to Columbus
- » Expected maintenance of a healthy financial position given solid revenue growth
- » Strong financial management practices, including multi-year forecasting and capital planning

Credit Challenges

- » Economically sensitive sales taxes comprise close to 50% of the county's core operating revenue
- » Moderate exposure to unfunded defined benefit pension liabilities of cost-sharing retirement systems

Rating Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our expectation of continued strong growth in both tax base valuation and sales tax revenue due to sizable upcoming commercial developments. Additionally, we expect that the county's healthy financial profile will be maintained given planned formalization of reserve and debt policies as well as monthly financial reporting.

Factors that Could Lead to a Downgrade

- » Weakening of the county's economic profile, as indicated by growing unemployment, depressed socioeconomic indices, or tax base depreciation
- » Material declines in available financial reserves
- » Growth in the county's debt and/or pension burden

Key Indicators

Exhibit 1

Delaware (County of) OH	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Economy/Tax Base					
Total Full Value (\$000)	\$ 17,906,564	\$ 17,980,403	\$ 17,224,499	\$ 17,350,591	\$ 17,571,388
Full Value Per Capita	\$ 102,785	\$ 105,432	\$ 98,734	\$ 97,399	\$ 96,641
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	161.5%	161.3%	161.9%	160.0%	163.2%
Finances					
Operating Revenue (\$000)	\$ 97,427	\$ 100,081	\$ 102,470	\$ 108,055	\$ 115,495
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	31.6%	38.0%	41.8%	40.4%	46.5%
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	26.2%	32.5%	35.3%	35.5%	42.5%
Debt/Pensions					
Net Direct Debt (\$000)	\$ 42,580	\$ 39,322	\$ 35,973	\$ 32,406	\$ 29,568
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	0.4x	0.4x	0.4x	0.3x	0.3x
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Revenues (x)	N/A	N/A	1.7x	2.1x	2.2x
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Full Value (%)	N/A	N/A	1.0%	1.3%	1.4%

The above table does not include the most recent sale or valuation data. Total Full Value increased to \$19.7 billion in 2016. Post sale, the county will have \$100.9 million in net direct debt outstanding, equal to 0.5% of full value and 0.9 times operating revenue.

Source: Moody's Investors Service, Audited Financial Results, US Census Bureau

Recent Developments

Since our last report on June 02, 2015, the county's tax base has continued to grow, increasing to \$19.7 billion from \$18.9 billion. The county also concluded fiscal 2015, although audited financial results are not yet available.

Detailed Rating Considerations

Economy and Tax Base: Affluent Tax Base Near Columbus Shows Strong Growth Prospects

We anticipate continued economic and tax base growth within the county given its close ties to the expanding Columbus metropolitan area. The county's tax base has grown significantly over the last decade, with full value increasing by nearly \$3 billion in ten years to \$19.7 billion in 2016. The tax base had only a single year of decline, 4% in 2012, which was reflective of some residential depreciation during the recession. Over the past five years, including the single year of 4% decline, the county's tax base has grown at an average annual rate of 1.5%.

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

Both commercial and residential development are ongoing within the county. A new Simon-Tanger outlet mall is under construction and expected to open in June, and an IKEA store, only the second in the state, is expected to open in summer of 2017. Between the mall and the IKEA store, the county is projecting \$2.8 million of additional sales tax revenue annually. Delaware County's population increased by 58% between 2000 and 2010 and has more than tripled since 1980. Based on the American Community Survey (ACS) data, the county's population was 181,821 in 2014. The Delaware County Regional Planning Commission estimates that the population will grow to 244,000 by 2030.

Median family income in the county is a very strong 163% of the U.S. according to the 2014 ACS estimates. The unemployment rate in Delaware County was a low 3.8% as of February 2016. The county's labor force and total employment level have grown 10% and 14%, respectively, since 2010. Large employers in the county include JP Morgan Chase and Co. (A3 stable), Kroger Co. (Baa2 stable) and the Olentangy Local School District (Aa1).

Financial Operations and Reserves: Strong Sales Tax Revenue Growth Supports Healthy Reserves Despite Some Planned Draws in 2016

We expect the county will maintain a healthy financial position going forward, in part due to the positive impact that the expanding local economy will have on sales tax collections. Sales taxes annually comprise between 55% and 60% of total General Fund revenue and between 40% and 45% of core operating fund revenue, which, in addition to the General Fund, we consider to include the county's major human services funds (Development Disabilities, Job & Family Services, and Children Services funds), other public safety funds, and debt service funds. While other revenue sources have remained fairly flat, growth in sales taxes supported four consecutive operating surpluses through fiscal 2014. The county closed that year with a strong \$8.7 million increase in the General Fund bringing the available fund balance to \$43.4 million (an ample 52.1% of revenue) and a core operating fund balance of \$53.7 million (46.5% of revenue).

While audited information is not yet available for fiscal 2015, county management reports that, on a budget basis, the General Fund closed the year with an \$1 million operating surplus, bringing the budgetary-basis fund balance to \$32.4 million, or 38% of receipts. For fiscal 2016 the county has budgeted to use of \$10.5 million of General Fund reserves for one-time expenses. The county is providing \$8 million for infrastructure improvements associated a new Simon-Tanger outlet mall and a total of \$10 million of cash will be put toward the county courthouse project. On a budget basis, management projects closing the current year with a General Fund cash balance of \$22.4 million (inclusive of a \$5 million budget stabilization fund). This balance would be equivalent to 26% of budgeted receipts.

LIQUIDITY

The county closed fiscal 2014 with an audited \$49.1 million of available cash and investments across major operating funds. This was equivalent to a healthy 42.5% of operating revenue. Across all governmental funds, the county closed fiscal 2013 with a net cash balance of \$102.3 million, or a substantial 76.6% of total governmental revenue. Approximately \$29 million of total governmental cash was held in the county's Auto and Gas Fund in fiscal 2014, the reserves of which are statutorily restricted for improvement and maintenance of roads, bridges and ditches.

Debt and Pensions: Low Debt Burden and Manageable Exposure to Unfunded Pension Liabilities

DEBT STRUCTURE

Inclusive of the current offering, the county's net direct debt burden is a low 0.5% of full valuation and 0.9 times fiscal 2014 operating revenue. The county's overall debt burden is an above average 3.3% of full valuation, with the majority of overlapping debt having been issued by the Olentangy Local School District. In fiscal 2014, debt service was equivalent to 4% of annual operating revenue, and total fixed costs, including debt service, pension, and other post-employment benefit payments, were \$12.4 million or a very manageable 10.7% of operating revenue. The county has no near term debt plans, but it may consider issuing debt for a second phase of the courthouse project; however, it is unlikely that any firm plans will be made until the current courthouse project has been completed, which should be by the end of 2017.

DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES

Post-sale, the county will have \$7.1 million of GOULT bonds and \$54.0 million of GOLT bonds. The county also has \$39.3 million of sales tax revenue debt that is rated Aa1 stable. Amortization of the county's post-sale combined GO and sales tax debt is slower than average with 54% of principal retired within ten years. All debt of the county is long-term, fixed rate, amortizing bonds.

PENSIONS AND OPEB

We calculate an average pension burden for Delaware County based on its participation in two defined benefit cost-sharing plans. County employees are primarily members of the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS) while a very small number have participated in the State Teachers Retirement System of Ohio (STRS) in prior years. In fiscal 2014 none of the county's employees participated in STRS. The county's three-year average Moody's adjusted net pension liability (ANPL) through fiscal 2014 is \$253.9 million, equivalent to a moderate 1.3% of full valuation but above average 2.2 times fiscal 2014 operating revenue. Our adjustments reflect the use of a market-based discount rate to value liabilities. They are not intended as a guide, but rather, to enhance the comparability of rated entities in our credit analysis. Through fiscal 2014, we allocated the liabilities of the cost-sharing plans to the county in proportion to its respective contributions to the plans. The county's fiscal 2014 contribution to the plans was \$7.6 million, or a manageable 6.6% of operating revenue.

Ohio statutes establish local government retirement contributions as a share of annual payroll. Reforms passed by the state in 2012 reduced cost-of-living adjustments (COLAs) in all cost-sharing plans. The county's 2013 and 2014 ANPLs incorporate the impact of the COLA reform. Statutory contributions to OPERS have largely remained in line with actuarial requirements. However, if current contribution rates do not amortize plan unfunded liabilities quickly enough under a state funding threshold, further reforms may be necessary, exposing counties to potential increases in their required contribution rates.

Management and Governance: Moderate Institutional Framework; Available Revenue Raising Flexibility and Strong Financial Management Practices

Ohio counties have an institutional framework score of "A," or moderate. County revenues are moderately predictable as sales taxes tend to dominate General Fund revenue, but more diversified streams fund overall operations. Local sales tax rates are capped at 1.5% absent voter approval, providing moderate revenue raising flexibility for some counties. Increased sales tax rates are subject to repeal by referendum and raising property tax rates requires voter approval. Personnel costs, which are moderately predictable, account for a large share of expenditures. Expenditure reduction ability is moderate due to labor agreements. Fixed costs are manageable given modest debt burdens and retirement contributions that are less than 10% of revenues.

The county currently levies a sales tax of 1.25% and the County Commission has statutory authority to levy a local sales tax of up to 1.5% without voter approval. However, an unvoted increase in the sales tax rate would be subject to repeal by voter referendum. Health and human service operations are supported by a combination of state/federal grants and property taxes. Any new property tax levies to support these operations would require voter approval.

The county's management and planning is above average as officials have begun producing annual five year financial forecasts and plan to produce detailed monthly reports in the near term as well. The county maintains a five year capital plan and is in the process of creating both economic development and sanitary sewer master plans. The county has a draft fund balance, debt management and post-issuance compliance policies that are expected to be approved by the county commissioners in the next quarter. The draft fund balance policy is robust in that it requires the county maintain monthly General Fund reserves above 25% of the prior year's annual revenues. The county's elected officials have been in place for several years; however, the county's appointed management team is relatively new. The county administrator and director of sanitary engineering were both hired in 2016, and the economic development director began in the summer of 2015.

Legal Security

The Series 2016 bonds and county's outstanding GOLT bonds are secured by the county's pledge and authority to levy property taxes within the State of Ohio's statutory 10 mill limitation.

The county's GOULT bonds are secured by the county's pledge and authorization to levy property taxes unlimited as to rate or amount to pay debt service. The county's sales tax bonds are secured by a senior lien on revenues collected pursuant to a 0.75% countywide sales tax.

Use of Proceeds

Approximately \$32 million of the Series 2016 bonds will be used for the purposes of constructing a county courthouse, while \$3.6 million will be used to advance refund the county's Series 2007 bonds for interest savings.

Obligor Profile

Delaware County is located along the northern border of Franklin County (Aaa stable) 25 miles north of the City of Columbus. The county encompasses approximately 459 square miles, and its 2014 population was 181,821 according to American Community Survey estimates.

Methodology

The principal methodology used in this rating was US Local Government General Obligation Debt published in January 2014. Please see the Ratings Methodologies page on www.moodys.com for a copy of this methodology.

Ratings

Exhibit 2

Delaware (County of) OH

Issue	Rating
General Obligation (Limited Tax) Various Purpose	Aaa
Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 2016	
Rating Type	Underlying LT
Sale Amount	\$35,625,000
Expected Sale Date	05/02/2016
Rating Description	General Obligation
	Limited Tax

Source: Moody's Investors Service

© 2016 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE. HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER 1023914

Contacts CLIENT SERVICES

Cora Bruemmer 312-706-9971

Analyst
cora.bruemmer@moodys.com

Rachel Cortez VP-Senior Credit Officer rachel.cortez@moodys.com 312-706-9956

 Americas
 1-212-553-1653

 Asia Pacific
 852-3551-3077

 Japan
 81-3-5408-4100

 EMEA
 44-20-7772-5454

